Consultant Name: Alt & Witzig Services Description: Geotechnical

			Weight=	Weighted Score			
Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0					
No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0			
Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3						
Historical Performance.							
	*		15	0			
Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*		15	0			
Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*		10	0			
Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.							
Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1		20	20			
Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0	***					
Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3						
Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added	-	2					
value or efficiency to the deliverable.							
Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified			15	30			
for req'd services for value added benefit.				30			
Expertise and resources at appropriate level,							
Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3						
Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.			5				
Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	٦ ,		10			
Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	1 -		1 10			
Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1	1					
Insufficient experience.	-3	**					
Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	sastromensummerimens	oor careerations	5	0			
	2	"					
		1	10	10			
		-					
		-					
			 				
Within 15 mi.	2	•					
	1	1					
I	Ò	1 2	5	10			
	CONTRACTOR	~					
		-					
		4					
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Historical Performance. Timeliness score from performance database. Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT. Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable. Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources. Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old3 Historical Performance. Timeliness score from performance database. Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT. Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable. Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources. Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 ml. 2 16 to 50 ml. 51 to 1500 ml. 51 to 1500 ml. 6 Greater than 500 ml. Greater than 500 ml.	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old. 0 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old3 Historical Performance. Timeliness score from performance database. Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT. 1 Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule3 Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable. Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources. Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in fire that the project of the projec	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old 0 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old -3 Historical Performance. Timeliness score from performance database. Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT 1 Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work in sufficient was added benefit. Quality/Budget score on all Indoperation of the schedule. Quality/Budget score on all Indoperate and resources identified for recid services for value added value to INDOT 1 Quality/Budget score on all Indoperate and resources identified for recid services for value added benefit. Quality of more than added value to INDOT 1 Quality/Budget score on all Indoperate and resources identified for recid services for value added benefit. Quality/Budget score on all Indoperation on size, complexity of the schedule. Quality/Budget score on all Indoperation shall services for value added benefit. Quality/Budget score on all Indoperation shall services for value added benefit. Quality/Budget score on all Indoperation shall services for value added benefit. Quality/Budget score on similar services and resources identified for recide services for recidentified for			

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.	Signed:	MALLYME	Siddelly
	Title:	Gestelle	<u>Cal operatio</u>
	Date:	1/27/81	('Supr)

onsultant Name: CTL Engineering Services Description: Geotechnical

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	***************************************	0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	1	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3	1		
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*		15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*		15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*		10	0
Capacity of Feam to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1 0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0	1		
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3	1		
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.		0		
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified	one of the second second		,	
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	. 2		15	0
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	()	5	0
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume!	0		.	U
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			1
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*		5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.	1 1 2 1			
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	2	10	20
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	Wilhin 15 mi.	2	1		
	16 to 50 mi.	1			
	51 to 150 ml.	0	2	5	10
	151 to 500 ml.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2	1		
Committee of the commit	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.		sand		i

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.	Signed: 🙍	MAYUAT SI	<u>aldsl</u> la =
	Title: _	Gradeelanicai	o berote
	Date:	1/2-7/86	

Consultant Name: Chicago Testing Laboratory Services Description: Geotechnical

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	1	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance,				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*		15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*		15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	. *		io	0
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.			·	
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	ì	1 1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0	*		
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified	***************************************	0	15	0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2			0
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.			5	
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	1 0		0
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0	1 '		l "
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	*1	1		
	Insufficient experience.	-3	1		
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*		5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	1		
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0	1		
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.	**************************************			
LUCARIUM	Within 15 mi.	2			
Location	4. vingra v. entra			ı	1
	16 to 50 mi.	1	1		
		0	2	5	10
	16 to 50 mi.	NOTES AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY	2	5	10
	16 w 50 mi. 31 to 150 mi.	0	?	5	10

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Tille: Geofesh Chirations
Date: 1/27/01 (Supplement)

Jonsultant Name: Fuller, Mossbarger, Scott & May Engrs. Services Description: Geotechnical

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score	
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0			
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0 -	
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3				
Past	Historical Performance.					
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*		15	0	
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance dutabase.	*		15	0	
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*		10	0	
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.					
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20	
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0	**			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3				
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.		0			
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified			15	0	
	for regid services for value added benefit.	2		1 17	l '	
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0				
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3				
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.					
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	1 0	5	1 0	
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	1 '	3	V	
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1	1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3	1			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*		5	0	
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.					
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	**			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	0	10	0	
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0				
	Lack of project understanding.	-3				
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.					
	Within 15 mi.	2	**			
	16 to 50 mi.	1				
	51 to 150 ml.	Ö	0	5	0	
	151 to 500 mi	-1	**	1		
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2	***			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3		3	1	

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Consultant Name: Earth Exploration, Inc. Services Description: Geotechnical

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score	
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	***************************************	0			
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0	
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3				
Past	Historical Performance.				wymw	
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*		15	0	
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*		15	0	
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*		10	()	
Capacity of Feam to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.	-	aua .			
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.		1	20	20	
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0	1			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3	**			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.					
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		0	15	l 0	
	for reg'd services for value added benefit.	2		1 12	"	
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0				
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3				
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.			5	ì	
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2] 2		10	
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	1 -		1 .0	
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	41				
	Insufficient experience.	-3				
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*		5	0	
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.					
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	1			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	2	10	20	
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0	*			
	Lack of project understanding.	•3	*		l	
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.					
	Within 15 mi,	2	*			
	16 to 50 mi.		*1		1	
	31 to 150 mil	0	2	5	10	
	ликования при	-1	1		l	
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2	and			
		**************************************		I	1	

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: <u>Geofiels Operations</u>

Date: 1/27/8/ (Supr)

Insultant Name: H. C. Nutting Services Description: Geotechnical.

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
sa Amigra (A. Malas V. Tarangan atau mengalan	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.	re en igner			
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	* * *		15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	***************************************	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	Q .
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	l	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0	*	1	
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3	1		
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for reg'd services for value added benefit.	2	2	15	30
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.		-		
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2] 2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0] •		
	Experience in different type or lower complexity,	-1	1		
	Insufficient experience,	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*		5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	1		
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	2	10	20
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0	**		
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	Within 15 mi.	2	**		
	16 to 50 mi.	l	***		
		0	0	5	0
	51 to 150 mi.			1 .	
	51 to 150 mi. 151 to 500 mi.	-1	**		
			*		

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed: Wkyy0 & Stank.

Title: Leokeh. Operation.

Date: 1/27/06

Consultant Name: K & S Engineers Services Description: Geotechnical

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Seare	Weight	Wei⊑ide Store
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	•	0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3	1	1	
Past	Historical Performance.	«««««««»			
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	***************************************	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*		1 15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	· *	**************************************	10	0
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT	1		20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	*3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified			15	0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2		1.2	ľ
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity,	2	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0	1 -	*	
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	~]			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*		5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	1 1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project	0			
		•3	1		
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.	***************************************			
	Within 15 mi.	2	1		
	на при	ī	1		
	51 to 150 ml	Ö	1 0	5	0
	tanan mananan manan Mananan mananan manan mananan mananan mananan mananan mananan mananan mananan mana	-1	1	1	ľ
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2	1		
			1		
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3	<u>l</u>	l sighted Total	-

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.21

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed: ////////

Title: 6-4-10 14-1077

Consultant Name: Patriot Engineering Services Description: Geotechnical

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	1	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*		15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*		15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database	*		10	0
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT		1 1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0	1		
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	• 3	1		1
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		2		30
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2		15	30
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	Õ			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0	1		1 12
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3		:	
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	*	5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	ligh level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	2	10	20
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0	1		
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.	**************************************	1		1
	Wahin 15 mi	2	1.		
	16 to 50 mi.	I	1		
	51 to 150 mi.	0	2	5	10
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2	1		
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms,	······································	1		1

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

AA BALLMALOS AAS MINE SAS S ON MARKET COSTA WASHINGTON TO CONTINUE.		
The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:	NRWW SISSALL	/ ~~~
Title:	GESTERN Suratur	
Pore	- 1/2 - 72/1 Sup	v)

Consultant Name: QORE, Inc. Services Description: Geotechnical

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	***************************************	0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos, old.	0		20	0
10g (1 min 1	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos, old.	- 3			
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*		1.5	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*		15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*		10	0
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT	1	1 0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.		0		
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified			15	0
	for reg'd services for value added benefit.	2		13	l "
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.			\$	
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	0		0
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0	1 ~		ľ
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	~ I			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*		5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	· 1	0	10	0
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0	1		
	Lack of project understanding.	-3	1		
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				1
	Within 15 mi.	2	1		
	16 to 50 mil.	***************************************			Ex-
보통하다 개별	51 to 150 mi.	Ů.	1 *	5	-5
	151 to 500 mi.	*!	1		
	A series of the	~~~~	60.	Į.	
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2	1		

Com	onidalises	for this	prp	to determine	the se	ale criteria
	edidennie.	101 11115	10.27	ao ucicinini	1310 50	nic ceneria.

		A	,
The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.	. Signed/	Vingdar	<u> </u>
	Title:	Lex Constant	ch rations
	····	1 m 1 1	7

Consultant Name: Wang Engineering Services Description: Geotechnical

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3	1		
Past .	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*		15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*		15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	***************************************	10	0
Capacity of Feam to do	pacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Avzilability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	:	1 0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0	1		
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	*3	1		
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.			15	0
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified	Statement of the statem	1 0		
	for reg'd services for value added benefit.	2	1		
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0	1		
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.			5	10
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2] 2		
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0	1 *		
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*		5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	2	10	20
	Basic understanding of the Project	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3	1		
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.			5	43
	Within 15 mi	2	1		
	16 to 50 mi		1		
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1 .1		
	одинативности по выполнения по при	-1	1		
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	43	~4		

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

gned: Myyar Sidali Title: Gestell Operation Leps.

Date: 1/27/6/